

EXOTIC INDECOMPOSABLE SYSTEMS OF FOUR SUBSPACES IN A HILBERT SPACE

MASATOSHI ENOMOTO AND YASUO WATATANI

Dedicated to Professor Masahiro Nakamura on his 88th birthday

ABSTRACT. We study the relative position of four subspaces in a Hilbert space. For any positive integer n , we give an example of exotic indecomposable system $\mathcal{S}$ of four subspaces in a Hilbert space whose defect is $\frac{2n+1}{3}$. By an exotic system, we mean a system which is not isomorphic to any closed operator system under any permutation of subspaces. We construct the examples by a help of certain nice sequences used by Jiang and Wang in their study of strongly irreducible operators.

KEYWORDS: subspace, Hilbert space, indecomposable system, defect, strongly irreducible operator.

AMS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION: 46C07, 47A15, 15A21, 16G20, 16G60.

1. INTRODUCTION

Many problems of linear algebra can be reduced to the classification of the systems of n subspaces in a finite-dimensional vector space. Nazarova [N] and Gelfand-Ponomarev [GP] completely classified indecomposable systems of four subspaces in a finite dimensional vector space. On the other hand, in operator theory, Halmos initiated the study of transitive lattices of subspaces, see for example [Ha]. Transitive lattices give transitive systems of subspaces. Transitive system of subspaces in a finite dimensional space had been studied by Brenner in [B].

In [EW] we started to investigate systems of n subspaces in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space considering an analogy with subfactor theory invented by Jones [J]. As a building block, we investigate indecomposable systems of n subspaces in the sense that the system can not be isomorphic to a direct sum of two non-zero systems. Recently Moskaleva and Samoilenko [MS] study a relation between systems of n -subspaces and representations of $*$ -algebras generated by projections.

Let H be a Hilbert space and $E_1, \dots, E_n$ n subspaces in H . Then we say that $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, \dots, E_n)$ is a system of n subspaces in H or a n -subspace system in H . A system $\mathcal{S}$ is called *indecomposable* if $\mathcal{S}$ is not be decomposed into a nontrivial direct sum.

For any bounded linear operator A on a Hilbert space K , we associate an operator system $\mathcal{S}_A$ of four subspaces in $H = K \oplus K$ by

$$\mathcal{S}_A = (H; K \oplus 0, 0 \oplus K, \text{graph } A, \{(x, x); x \in K\}).$$

Two such operator systems $\mathcal{S}_A$ and $\mathcal{S}_B$ are isomorphic if and only if the two operators A and B are similar. The direct sum of operator systems corresponds to the direct sum of the operators. In this sense the study of operators is included into the study of relative positions of four subspaces. In particular in a finite dimensional space, Jordan blocks correspond to indecomposable systems. Moreover in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space, an operator system $\mathcal{S}_A$ is indecomposable if and only if A is strongly irreducible. Recall that an operator $A \in B(K)$ is called strongly irreducible if there are no non-trivial invariant subspaces M and N of A such that $M \cap N = 0$ and $M + N = K$. A strongly irreducible operator is an infinite-dimensional analog of a Jordan block. We refer a good monograph [JW] by Jiang and Wang on strongly irreducible operators.

In [EW] we discovered some examples of exotic indecomposable systems $\mathcal{S}$ of four subspaces in a Hilbert space. By an exotic system, we mean a system which is not isomorphic to any closed operator system $\mathcal{S}_A$ under any permutation of subspaces.

Gelfand and Ponomarev introduced an integer valued invariant $\rho(\mathcal{S})$, called *defect*, for a system $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$ of four subspaces by

$$\rho(\mathcal{S}) = \sum_{i=1}^4 \dim E_i - 2 \dim H.$$

They showed that if $\mathcal{S}$ is indecomposable, then the defect $\rho(\mathcal{S})$ is one of $\{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$.

We extended the notion of defect to a certain class of systems of four subspaces in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space using Fredholm index in [EW]. We showed that the defect for indecomposable systems of four subspaces takes any value in $\mathbb{Z}/3$. These values are attained by bounded operator systems. In fact the exotic systems constructed in [EW] have the defect $\rho(\mathcal{S}) = 1$.

The aim of the paper is to give new examples of *exotic* indecomposable systems $\mathcal{S}$ of four subspaces in a Hilbert space with the defect $\rho(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{2n+1}{3}$ for any positive integer n . We construct these examples by a help of certain nice sequences used by Jiang and Wang in their study of strongly irreducible operators in [JW].

2. RELATIVE POSITION OF SUBSPACES

We study the relative position of n subspaces in a separable Hilbert space. Firstly we recall some basic facts in [EW]. Let H be a Hilbert space and $E_1, \dots, E_n$ be n subspaces in H . Then we say that $\mathcal{S} =$

$(H; E_1, \dots, E_n)$ is a system of n -subspaces in H or an n -subspace system in H . Let $\mathcal{T} = (K; F_1, \dots, F_n)$ be another system of n -subspaces in a Hilbert space K . Then $\varphi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is called a homomorphism if $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ is a bounded linear operator satisfying that $\varphi(E_i) \subset F_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. And $\varphi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is called an isomorphism if $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ is an invertible (i.e., bounded bijective) linear operator satisfying that $\varphi(E_i) = F_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. We say that systems $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are *isomorphic* if there is an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$. This means that the relative positions of n subspaces $(E_1, \dots, E_n)$ in H and $(F_1, \dots, F_n)$ in K are same under disregarding angles. We say that systems $\mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are *unitarily equivalent* if the above isomorphism $\varphi : H \rightarrow K$ can be chosen to be a unitary. This means that the relative positions of n subspaces $(E_1, \dots, E_n)$ in H and $(F_1, \dots, F_n)$ in K are same with preserving the angles between the subspaces.

We denote by $Hom(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T})$ the set of homomorphisms of $\mathcal{S}$ to $\mathcal{T}$ and $End(\mathcal{S}) := Hom(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S})$ the set of endomorphisms on $\mathcal{S}$.

For two systems $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, \dots, E_n)$ and $\mathcal{T} = (K; F_1, \dots, F_n)$ of n subspaces in H , their direct sum $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{T}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{T} := (H \oplus K; E_1 \oplus F_1, \dots, E_n \oplus F_n).$$

Definition. A system $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, \dots, E_n)$ of n subspaces is called *decomposable* if the system $\mathcal{S}$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of two non-zero systems. A system $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, \dots, E_n)$ is said to be *indecomposable* if it is not decomposable. A system $\mathcal{S}$ is indecomposable if and only if $Idem(\mathcal{S}) := \{V \in End(\mathcal{S}); V^2 = V\} = \{0, I\}$. A system $\mathcal{S}$ is said to be *transitive* if $End(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbb{C}I$.

Transitive systems in a finite dimensional space were studied by S. Brenner [B]. On the other hand, Halmos [Ha] initiated the study of transitive lattices of subspaces in Hilbert spaces, which give transitive systems. Some interesting examples were obtained by Harrison-Radjavi-Rosenthal [HRR] and Hadwin-Longstaff-Rosenthal [HLR]. We have a close relation between systems of subspaces and operators. In fact we can associate a system of four subspaces for any operator.

Definition. We say that a system $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, \dots, E_4)$ of four subspaces is a *closed operator system* if there exist Hilbert spaces K_1, K_2 and closed operators $T : K_1 \supset D(T) \rightarrow K_2, S : K_2 \supset D(S) \rightarrow K_1$ such that $H = K_1 \oplus K_2, E_1 = K_1 \oplus 0, E_2 = 0 \oplus K_2, E_3 = \{(x, Tx); x \in D(T)\}$ and $E_4 = \{(Sy, y); y \in D(S)\}$. Here $D(T)$ is the domain of T . In particular, if T and S are bounded operators with $D(T) = K_1$ and $D(S) = K_2$, then we say that $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, \dots, E_4)$ is a bounded operator system. We denote it by $\mathcal{S}_{T,S}$. We put $\mathcal{S}_T := \mathcal{S}_{T,I}$ and call it a bounded operator system associated with a single operator T . Two such operator systems $\mathcal{S}_A$ and $\mathcal{S}_B$ are isomorphic if and only if the two operators A and B are similar. Moreover in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space,

a bounded operator system $\mathcal{S}_A$ is indecomposable if and only if A is strongly irreducible.

Definition. Let $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$ be a system of four subspaces. For any distinct $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$, define an adding operator

$$A_{ij} : E_i \oplus E_j \ni (x, y) \rightarrow x + y \in H.$$

Then

$$\text{Ker } A_{ij} = \{(x, -x) \in E_i \oplus E_j; x \in E_i \cap E_j\}$$

and

$$\text{Im } A_{ij} = E_i + E_j.$$

We say $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$ is a *Fredholm* system if A_{ij} is a Fredholm operator for any $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ with $i \neq j$. Then $\text{Im } A_{ij} = E_i + E_j$ is closed and

$$\text{Index } A_{ij} = \dim \text{Ker } A_{ij} - \dim \text{Ker } A_{ij}^* = \dim(E_i \cap E_j) - \dim((E_i + E_j)^\perp).$$

Definition. We say $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$ is a *quasi-Fredholm* system if $E_i \cap E_j$ and $(E_i + E_j)^\perp$ are finite-dimensional for any $i \neq j$. In the case we define the *defect* $\rho(\mathcal{S})$ of $\mathcal{S}$ by

$$\rho(\mathcal{S}) := \frac{1}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (\dim(E_i \cap E_j) - \dim(E_i + E_j)^\perp)$$

which coincides with the Gelfand-Ponomarev original defect if H is finite-dimensional. Moreover, if $\mathcal{S}$ is a Fredholm system, then it is a quasi-Fredholm system and

$$\rho(\mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} \text{Index } A_{ij}.$$

3. CONSTRUCTION OF EXAMPLES

Consider a Hilbert space $L = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots\}$ be a canonical basis. For a bounded sequence $w = (w(n))_n$, we define a backward weighted shift $B_w \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ of weight w by

$$B_w e_n = w(n-1)e_{n-1}, \quad (n \geq 2) \quad \text{and} \quad B_w e_1 = 0.$$

Thus for $x = (x(n))_n \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, we have $(B_w x)(n) = w(n)x(n+1)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

We borrow a family of sequences $a_1 = (a_1(n))_n, a_2 = (a_2(n))_n, a_3 = (a_3(n))_n, \dots$ used by Jiang and Wang in [JW, p.93-94] as follows:

Define a sequence $c = (c(n))_n$ of positive numbers and an increasing sequence $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ of natural numbers as follows:

Put $c(1) = 2 = \frac{1+1}{1} > 1$ and $n_1 = 1$. There exists $n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_1 < n_2$ such that

$$\frac{1+1}{1} \prod_{k=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{k}{k+1} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

Put $c(k) = \frac{k}{k+1}$ for $k = n_1 + 1 = 2, \dots, n_2$. There exists $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n_2 < n_3$ such that

$$\frac{1+1}{1} \prod_{k=n_1+1}^{n_2} \frac{k}{k+1} \prod_{k=n_2+1}^{n_3} \frac{k+1}{k} > 3.$$

Put $c(k) = \frac{k+1}{k}$ for $k = n_2 + 1, \dots, n_3$. We continue in this fashion to obtain an increasing sequence $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots$ of natural numbers and a sequence $c = (c(n))_n$ of positive numbers such that

$$c(k) = \begin{cases} \frac{k+1}{k}, & (k = n_1 + 1, n_2 + 1 \leq k \leq n_3, n_4 + 1 \leq k \leq n_5, \dots) \\ \frac{k}{k+1}, & (n_1 + 1 \leq k \leq n_2, n_3 + 1 \leq k \leq n_4, n_5 + 1 \leq k \leq n_6, \dots), \end{cases}$$

and

$$\prod_{k=1}^{n_j} c(k) \begin{cases} > j & (j \text{ is odd}) \\ < \frac{1}{j} & (j \text{ is even.}) \end{cases}$$

Then $\frac{2}{3} \leq c(k) \leq 2$. Define $a_1(k) \equiv 1$, $a_2(k) = c(k)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and

$$a_i(k) = c(k)^{\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{i-1}}} = c(k)^{1-2^{1-i}} \text{ for } i \geq 2$$

Then we have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. (*Jiang and Wang [JW]*) *There exists a family of sequences $a_1 = (a_1(n))_n, a_2 = (a_2(n))_n, a_3 = (a_3(n))_n, \dots$, of positive numbers satisfying*

- (1) $\frac{2}{3} \leq a_i(k) \leq 2$,
- (2) $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_i(k) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n 2a_i(k) = \infty$,
- (3) $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{a_i(k)}{a_j(k)} = \infty$, ($i \neq j$),
- (4) $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{a_i(k)}{a_j(k)} = 0$, ($i \neq j$).
- (5) *the point spectrum $\sigma_p(B_{a_i})$ contains $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| < 1\}$.*

We shall construct our examples. We fix a family of sequences $a_1 = (a_1(n))_n, a_2 = (a_2(n))_n, a_3 = (a_3(n))_n, \dots$ of positive numbers defined in the above Lemma 3.1. Put $w_k = 2a_k$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$. Consider a sequence of backward weighted shifts $B_{w_1}, B_{w_2}, B_{w_3}, \dots$ on $L = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Let $S \in B(L)$ be a unilateral shift. For a fixed natural number N , define $K = L \oplus \dots \oplus L$ ($N + 1$ times) and $H = K \oplus K$. In the below we sometimes use symbol $(x \oplus y) \in K \oplus K$ instead of $(x, y) \in K \oplus K$ for the sake of convenience of notation. We consider an operator

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} B_{w_1} & I & O & \dots & O \\ O & B_{w_2} & I & \ddots & \vdots \\ O & O & \ddots & \ddots & O \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & B_{w_N} & I \\ O & O & \dots & O & S \end{pmatrix} \in B(K)$$

Let $E_1 = K \oplus 0$, $E_2 = 0 \oplus K$, $E_4 = \{x \oplus x \in K \oplus K; x \in K\}$ and

$$E_3 = \{x \oplus Tx \in K \oplus K; x \in K\} + \mathbb{C}((0, \dots, 0) \oplus (0, \dots, 0, e_1)).$$

Consider a system $\mathcal{S}_{w,N} = (H; E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$. We shall show that $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ is indecomposable and is not isomorphic to any closed operator systems under any permutation. We could regard that the system $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ is a one-dimensional “deformation” of an operator system, since $E_3 = \text{graph } T + \mathbb{C}((0, \dots, 0) \oplus (0, \dots, 0, e_1))$.

Theorem 3.2. *The above system $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ of four subspaces is indecomposable.*

Proof. In order to make the notation simple, we shall prove the theorem in case $N = 3$. The general N case will be proved similarly. Let $V \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S}_{w,N})$ satisfy $V^2 = V$. It is enough to show that $V = O$ or $V = I$ for $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ to be indecomposable. Since $V(E_i) \subset E_i$ for $i = 1, 2, 4$, we have

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & A \end{pmatrix} \in B(H) \quad \text{for some } A \in B(K).$$

It is sufficient to prove that $A = O$ or $A = I$. We may write $A = (A_{ij})_{ij}$ as operator matrix, where $A_{ij} \in B(L)$ and $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Thus we have

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} B_{w_1} & I & O & O \\ O & B_{w_2} & I & O \\ O & O & B_{w_3} & I \\ O & O & O & S \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \\ A_{31} & A_{32} & A_{33} & A_{34} \\ A_{41} & A_{42} & A_{43} & A_{44} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $E_3 = \text{graph } T + \mathbb{C}((0, 0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 0, 0, e_1))$, E_3 is spanned by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (e_1, 0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 0, 0, 0), (e_n, 0, 0, 0) \oplus (w_1(n-1)e_{n-1}, 0, 0, 0), \\ (0, e_1, 0, 0) \oplus (e_1, 0, 0, 0), (0, e_n, 0, 0) \oplus (e_n, w_2(n-1)e_{n-1}, 0, 0), \\ (0, 0, e_1, 0) \oplus (0, e_1, 0, 0), (0, 0, e_n, 0) \oplus (0, e_n, w_3(n-1)e_{n-1}, 0), \\ (0, 0, 0, e_k) \oplus (0, 0, e_k, e_{k+1}), \\ (0, 0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 0, 0, e_1), \end{array} \right\}; \quad \begin{array}{l} n \geq 2, \\ k \geq 1 \end{array}$$

We may write

$$E_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(n))_n \\ (x_2(n))_n \\ (x_3(n))_n \\ (x_4(n))_n \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(n+1)w_1(n) + x_2(n))_n \\ (x_2(n+1)w_2(n) + x_3(n))_n \\ (x_3(n+1)w_3(n) + x_4(n))_n \\ (y, (x_4(n))_n) \end{pmatrix}; \begin{array}{l} x_1, x_2 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \\ x_3, x_4 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \\ y \in \mathbb{C} \end{array} \right\}$$

We need several lemmas in the below to complete the proof. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $P \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ be an operator of the form $P = \lambda I + N$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and an upper (or lower) triangular matrix $N \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ with zero diagonal. Assume that P is an idempotent, then $P = O$ or $P = I$.*

Proof. This is a known fact. See for example Lemma 10.1 in [EW]. $\square$

Lemma 3.4. *We have that $A_{41}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k, n \geq 1$, $A_{31}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+1$, $A_{21}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+2$, and $A_{11}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+3$. In particular $A_{41} = O$.*

Proof. Since $u = (e_1, 0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 0, 0, 0) \in E_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Vu &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}e_1 \\ A_{21}e_1 \\ A_{31}e_1 \\ A_{41}e_1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(k))_k \\ (x_2(k))_k \\ (x_3(k))_k \\ (x_4(k))_k \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(k+1)w_1(k) + x_2(k))_k \\ (x_2(k+1)w_2(k) + x_3(k))_k \\ (x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + x_4(k))_k \\ (y, (x_4(k))_k) \end{pmatrix} \in E_3. \end{aligned}$$

for some $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $x_4(k) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$. Thus $A_{41}(k, 1) = (A_{41}e_1)(k) = x_4(k) = 0$.

Since $x_3(k+1)w_3(k) = x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + x_4(k) = 0$ and $w_3(k) > 0$, we have $x_3(k+1) = 0$ for $k \geq 1$, i.e., $A_{31}(k, 1) = x_3(k) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$.

Since $x_2(k+1)w_2(k) = x_2(k+1)w_2(k) + x_3(k) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$ and $w_2(k) > 0$, we have $x_2(k+1) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$, i.e., $A_{21}(k, 1) = x_2(k) = 0$ for $k \geq 3$.

Since $x_1(k+1)w_1(k) = x_1(k+1)w_1(k) + x_2(k) = 0$ for $k \geq 3$ and $w_1(k) > 0$, we have $x_1(k+1) = 0$ for $k \geq 3$, i.e., $A_{11}(k, 1) = x_1(k) = 0$ for $k \geq 4$. Thus the statement of the lemma is proved for $n = 1$.

Moreover, $x_2(2)w_2(1) + x_3(1) = 0$ implies that $A_{21}(2, 1)w_2(1) + A_{31}(1, 1) = 0$.

And $x_1(2)w_1(1) + x_2(1) = 0$ implies that $A_{11}(2, 1)w_1(1) + A_{21}(1, 1) = 0$.

And $x_1(3)w_1(2) + x_2(2) = 0$ implies that $A_{11}(3, 1)w_1(2) + A_{21}(2, 1) = 0$.

We shall prove the lemma by induction on n . Assume that the statement of the Lemma holds for the n -th column of $A_{11}, A_{21}, A_{31}, A_{41}$. We shall prove it for $n+1$.

Since $u = (e_{n+1}, 0, 0, 0) \oplus (w_1(n)e_n, 0, 0, 0) \in E_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Vu &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}e_{n+1} \\ A_{21}e_{n+1} \\ A_{31}e_{n+1} \\ A_{41}e_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} w_1(n)A_{11}e_n \\ w_1(n)A_{21}e_n \\ w_1(n)A_{31}e_n \\ w_1(n)A_{41}e_n \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(k))_k \\ (x_2(k))_k \\ (x_3(k))_k \\ (x_4(k))_k \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(k+1)w_1(k) + x_2(k))_k \\ (x_2(k+1)w_2(k) + x_3(k))_k \\ (x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + x_4(k))_k \\ (y, (x_4(k))_k) \end{pmatrix} \in E_3. \end{aligned}$$

for some $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $(A_{41}e_n)(k) = A_{41}(k, n) = 0$ for any k by the assumption of induction, $(y, (x_4(k))_k) = w_1(n)A_{41}e_n = 0$. Then $A_{41}(k, n+1) = (A_{41}e_{n+1})(k) = x_4(k) = 0$.

Since $(A_{31}e_n)(k) = A_{31}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+1$ by the assumption of induction,

$$x_3(k+1)w_3(k) = x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + x_4(k) = w_1(n)(A_{31}e_n)(k) = 0.$$

Because $w_3(k) > 0$, we have $x_3(k+1) = 0$ for $k \geq n+1$, i.e.,

$$A_{31}(k, n) = (A_{31}e_n)(k) = x_3(k) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq (n+1) + 1.$$

Since $A_{21}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+2$ by the assumption of induction,

$$x_2(k+1)w_2(k) = x_2(k+1)w_2(k) + x_3(k) = w_1(n)(A_{21}e_n)(k) = 0.$$

Because $w_2(k) > 0$, we have $x_2(k+1) = 0$ for $k \geq n+2$, i.e.,

$$A_{21}(k, n) = (A_{21}e_n)(k) = x_2(k) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq (n+1) + 2.$$

Since $A_{11}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+3$ by the assumption of induction,

$$x_1(k+1)w_1(k) = x_1(k+1)w_1(k) + x_2(k) = w_1(n)(A_{11}e_n)(k) = 0.$$

Because $w_1(k) > 0$, we have $x_1(k+1) = 0$ for $k \geq n+3$, i.e.,

$$A_{11}(k, n) = (A_{11}e_n)(k) = x_1(k) = 0 \text{ for } k \geq (n+1) + 2.$$

This finishes the proof by induction. $\square$

Lemma 3.5. $A_{31} = O$ and $A_{21} = O$.

Proof. From the proof in Lemma 3.4, $A_{31}(k, n+1) = x_3(k)$ and

$$w_1(n)A_{31}(k, n) = x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + x_4(k) = x_3(k+1)w_3(k).$$

Hence

$$A_{31}(k+1, n+1) = \frac{w_1(n)}{w_3(k)} A_{31}(k, n) \text{ for any } n, k.$$

Therefore for any $j \geq 1$

$$A_{31}(1+n, j+n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{w_1(j+k-1)}{w_3(1+k-1)} A_{31}(1, j).$$

Recall that $\frac{4}{3} \leq w_1(k) \leq 4$, $\frac{4}{3} \leq w_3(k) \leq 4$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{w_1(k)}{w_3(k)} = \infty$ by Lemma 3.1. Since $\|A_{31}\| < \infty$, we have $A_{31}(1, j) = 0$. Furthermore $A_{31}(1+n, j+n) = 0$ for any j, n , i.e., $A_{31}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \leq n$. By Lemma 3.4 $A_{31}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+1$. Therefore $A_{31} = O$.

Similarly we have

$$A_{21}(k+1, n+1) = \frac{w_1(n)}{w_2(k)} A_{21}(k, n) \text{ for any } n, k.$$

By a similar argument we also have $A_{21} = O$. $\square$

Lemma 3.6. $A_{11} = O$ or $A_{11} = I$.

Proof. From the proof in Lemma 3.4 and the additional fact that $x_2 = A_{21}e_{n+1} = 0$, we have $A_{11}(k, n+1) = x_1(k)$ and

$$w_1(n)A_{11}(k, n) = x_1(k+1)w_1(k) + x_2(k) = x_1(k+1)w_1(k).$$

Hence

$$A_{11}(k+1, n+1) = \frac{w_1(n)}{w_1(k)}A_{11}(k, n) \text{ for any } n, k.$$

Therefore for any $j \geq 1$

$$A_{11}(j+n, 1+n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{w_1(1+k-1)}{w_1(j+k-1)}A_{11}(j, 1).$$

And we also have

$$A_{11}(1+n, 1+n) = A_{11}(n, n).$$

Therefore the diagonal of A_{11} is a constant, say λ . From the proof in Lemma 3.4, we have $A_{11}(3, 1) = -\frac{A_{21}(2, 1)}{w_1(2)} = 0$ and $A_{11}(2, 1) = -\frac{A_{21}(1, 1)}{w_1(1)} = 0$, because $A_{21} = O$. Therefore for any n

$$A_{11}(n+2, n) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{w_1(1+k-1)}{w_1(3+k-1)}A_{11}(3, 1) = 0.$$

Similarly $A_{11}(n+1, n) = 0$. We also have $A_{11}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+3$ by Lemma 3.4. Therefore $A_{11} = \lambda I + N$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and an upper triangular matrix $N \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ with zero diagonal. Since V is an idempotent, A is an idempotent. Hence A_{11} is also an idempotent, because $A_{21} = A_{31} = A_{41} = O$. Thus $A_{11} = O$ or $A_{11} = I$ by Lemma 3.3. $\square$

In the below we shall show that if $A_{11} = O$ (resp. $A_{11} = I$), then $V = O$ (resp. $V = I$). Replacing V by $I - V$, it is enough to show that $A_{11} = O$ implies $V = O$ to prove Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that $A_{11} = O$. Then $A_{42}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k, n \geq 1$, $A_{32}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+1$, $A_{22}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+2$, and $A_{12}(k, n) = 0$ for any $k \geq n+3$. In particular $A_{42} = O$.*

Proof. Since $u = (0, e_1, 0, 0) \oplus (e_1, 0, 0, 0) \in E_3$ and the first column of A is 0,

$$Vu = \begin{pmatrix} A_{12}e_1 \\ A_{22}e_1 \\ A_{32}e_1 \\ A_{42}e_1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in E_3$$

Since $u = (0, e_{n+1}, 0, 0) \oplus (e_{n+1}, w_2(n)e_n, 0, 0) \in E_3$ and the first column of A is 0, we have

$$Vu = \begin{pmatrix} A_{12}e_{n+1} \\ A_{22}e_{n+1} \\ A_{32}e_{n+1} \\ A_{42}e_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} w_2(n)A_{12}e_n \\ w_2(n)A_{22}e_n \\ w_2(n)A_{32}e_n \\ w_2(n)A_{42}e_n \end{pmatrix} \in E_3$$

Therefore the rest of the proof is as same as 3.4. $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that $A_{11} = O$. Then $A_{32} = O$ and $A_{22} = \lambda I + N$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and an upper triangular matrix $N \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ with zero diagonal.*

Proof. Since w_2 appears in Vu instead of w_1 , a diagonal block A_{22} plays a similar role of a diagonal block A_{11} in the argument of the proof in Lemma 3.6. The rest is similarly proved as the first column of the operator matrix $A = (A_{ij})_{ij}$ is zero. $\square$

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that $A_{11} = O$. Then $A_{22} = O$.*

Proof. Since A is an idempotent and $A_{32} = A_{42} = O$, A_{22} is also an idempotent. Thus $A_{22} = O$ or $A_{22} = I$ by 3.3. It is enough to show that $A_{22} \neq I$. On the contrary suppose that $A_{22} = I$. Then

$$V((0, e_1, 0, 0) \oplus (e_1, 0, 0, 0)) = (A_{12}e_1, e_1, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 0, 0, 0) \in E_3.$$

This implies that $A_{12}(21) = -\frac{1}{w_1(1)}$. Since $V((0, e_{n+1}, 0, 0) \oplus (e_{n+1}, w_2(n)e_n, 0, 0)) \in E_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} A_{12}e_{n+1} \\ e_{n+1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} w_2(n)A_{12}e_n \\ w_2(n)e_n \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(k))_k \\ (x_2(k))_k \\ (x_3(k))_k \\ (x_4(k))_k \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(k+1)w_1(k) + x_2(k))_k \\ (x_2(k+1)w_2(k) + x_3(k))_k \\ (x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + x_4(k))_k \\ (y, (x_4(k))_k) \end{pmatrix} \in E_3. \end{aligned}$$

for some $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then $A_{12}(n+2, n+1) = x_1(n+2)$ and $x_2(n+1) = 1$. We also have

$$w_2(n)A_{12}(n+1, n) = x_1(n+2)w_1(n+1) + x_2(n+1).$$

Therefore

$$A_{12}(n+2, n+1) = \frac{w_2(n)}{w_1(n+1)}A_{12}(n+1, n) - \frac{1}{w_1(n+1)}.$$

Hence we have

$$A_{12}(21) = -\frac{1}{w_1(1)}, \quad A_{12}(32) = -\frac{w_2(1)}{w_1(1)w_1(2)} - \frac{1}{w_1(2)},$$

$$A_{12}(43) = -\frac{w_2(2)w_2(1)}{w_1(3)w_1(2)w_1(1)} - \frac{w_2(2)}{w_1(3)w_1(2)} - \frac{1}{w_1(3)}, \dots$$

As $w_1(n) > 0$ and $w_2(n) > 0$,

$$|A_{12}(n+2, n+1)| \geq \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n w_2(k)}{w_1(n+1) \prod_{k=1}^n w_1(k)}.$$

Since $1 < w_1(n) \leq 4$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{w_2(k)}{w_1(k)} = \infty$ by Lemma 3.1, we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A_{12}(n+2, n+1)| = \infty$. This contradicts the fact that $\|A_{12}\| < \infty$. Therefore $A_{22} \neq I$. Hence $A_{22} = O$. $\square$

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose that $A_{11} = O$. Then $A_{12} = O$, $A_{43} = O$, $A_{33} = O$, $A_{23} = O$ and $A_{13} = O$.*

Proof. Similar arguments before show that $A_{12} = O$, $A_{43} = O$. A_{33} is an idempotent and $A_{33} = \lambda I + N$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and an upper triangular matrix $N \in B(\ell^2(\mathbb{N}))$ with zero diagonal. Thus $A_{33} = O$ or $A_{33} = I$ by Lemma 3.3. It is enough to show that $A_{33} \neq I$. On the contrary suppose that $A_{33} = I$. Then

$$A_{23}(21) = -\frac{1}{w_2(1)}, \quad A_{23}(n+2, n+1) = \frac{w_3(n)}{w_2(n+1)} A_{23}(n+1, n) - \frac{1}{w_2(n+1)}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.9, we have $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |A_{23}(n+2, n+1)| = \infty$. This contradicts the fact that $\|A_{23}\| < \infty$. Therefore $A_{33} \neq I$. Hence $A_{33} = O$. The rest is similarly proved. $\square$

Lemma 3.11. *Suppose that $A_{11} = O$. Then $A_{44} = O$, $A_{34} = O$, $A_{24} = O$ and $A_{14} = O$.*

Proof. Since the fourth column of operator matrix $T = (T_{ij})_{ij}$ has a different form than the other columns, we need to be careful to investigate.

Since $u = (0, 0, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 0, 0, e_1) \in E_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Vu &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} A_{14}e_1 \\ A_{24}e_1 \\ A_{34}e_1 \\ A_{44}e_1 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(k))_k \\ (x_2(k))_k \\ (x_3(k))_k \\ (x_4(k))_k \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(k+1)w_1(k) + x_2(k))_k \\ (x_2(k+1)w_2(k) + x_3(k))_k \\ (x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + x_4(k))_k \\ (y, (x_4(k))_k) \end{pmatrix} \in E_3. \end{aligned}$$

for some $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = x_4 = 0$. Therefore $A_{14}(k, 1) = A_{24}(k, 1) = A_{34}(k, 1) = 0$ for any $k \geq 1$. We also have $A_{44}(k, 1) = 0$ for any $k \geq 2$.

Since $u = (0, 0, 0, e_n) \oplus (0, 0, e_n, e_{n+1}) \in E_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Vu &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{14}e_n \\ A_{24}e_n \\ A_{34}e_n \\ A_{44}e_n \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} A_{14}e_{n+1} \\ A_{24}e_{n+1} \\ A_{34}e_{n+1} \\ A_{44}e_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(k))_k \\ (x_2(k))_k \\ (x_3(k))_k \\ (x_4(k))_k \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} (x_1(k+1)w_1(k) + x_2(k))_k \\ (x_2(k+1)w_2(k) + x_3(k))_k \\ (x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + x_4(k))_k \\ (y, (x_4(k))_k) \end{pmatrix} \in E_3. \end{aligned}$$

for some $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and $y \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then

$$A_{44}(k+1, n+1) = x_4(k) = A_{44}(k, n) \text{ for any } k \geq 1, n \geq 1.$$

Since $A_{44}(1, 1) = y$ and $A_{44}(k, 1) = 0$ for $k \geq 2$, $A_{44} = yI + N$ for some $y \in \mathbb{C}$ and an upper triangular matrix N with zero diagonal. Since A_{44} is an idempotent, $A_{44} = O$ or $A_{44} = I$. We shall show that $A_{44} \neq I$. On the contrary assume that $A_{44} = I$. Then $x_4 = A_{44}e_n = e_n$. Moreover

$$A_{34}(k, n+1) = x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + e_n(k) = A_{34}(k+1, n)w_3(k) + e_n(k).$$

This implies that

$$A_{34}(e_{n+1}) = B_{w_3}A_{34}(e_n) + e_n.$$

Since $A_{34}(e_1) = 0$, we have $A_{34}(e_2) = e_1$, $A_{34}(e_3) = e_2$, $A_{34}(e_4) = w_3(1)e_1 + e_3$, $A_{34}(e_5) = w_3(2)e_2 + e_4$, $A_{34}(e_6) = w_3(1)w_3(2)e_1 + w_3(3)e_3 + e_5, \dots$. Therefore

$$A_{34} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & w_3(1) & 0 & w_3(1)w_3(2) & 0 & w_3(1)w_3(2)w_3(3) & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & w_3(2) & 0 & w_3(2)w_3(3) & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & w_3(3) & 0 & w_3(3)w_3(4) & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & w_3(4) & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & w_3(5) & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

In particular, we have

$$A_{34}(1, 2n) = \prod_{k=1}^{n-1} w_3(k).$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^n w_3(k) = \infty$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_{34}(1, 2n) = \infty$. This contradicts that A_{34} is bounded. Therefore $A_{44} = O$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} A_{34}(k, n+1) &= x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + x_4(k) \\ &= x_3(k+1)w_3(k) + A_{44}e_n = A_{34}(k+1, n)w_3(k). \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_{34}(k, 1) = 0$, we have $A_{34} = O$. Similarly we have $A_{24} = O$ and $A_{14} = O$. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 3.2 will be completed by the following Lemma:

Lemma 3.12. *The system $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ of four subspaces is indecomposable.*

Proof. Let $V \in \text{End}(\mathcal{S}_{w,N})$ satisfy $V^2 = V$ as in the beginning of the proof of Theorem 3.2. Then $V = A \oplus A$ and $A_{11} = O$ or $A_{11} = I$ by Lemma 3.6. If $A_{11} = O$, then $A = O$ by the preceding lemmas so that $V = O$. If $A_{11} = I$, then $(I - A)_{11} = 0$. By replacing V by an idempotent $I - V$, the same argument implies $I - A = O$, so that $V = I$. This establishes that $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ is indecomposable. $\square$

4. BEING EXOTIC

In this section we shall show that the indecomposable systems $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ constructed in the preceding section are exotic in the sense that $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ are not isomorphic to any closed operator system $\mathcal{S}_A$ under any permutation of subspaces. We recall a necessary criterion in [EW].

Definition(intersection diagram). Let $\mathcal{S} = (H; E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$ be a system of four subspaces. The *intersection diagram* for a system $\mathcal{S}$ is an undirected graph $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}} = (\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^0, \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^1)$ with the set of vertices $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^0$ and the set of edges $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^1$ defined by $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^0 = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and for $i \neq j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

$$\circ_i \text{ --- } \circ_j \quad \text{if and only if } E_i \cap E_j = 0.$$

Lemma 4.1. ([EW, Lemma 10.4]) *Let $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{T,S} = (H; E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4)$ be a closed operator system. Then the intersection diagram $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$ for the system $\mathcal{S}$ contains*

$$\circ_4 \text{ --- } \circ_1 \text{ --- } \circ_2 \text{ --- } \circ_3 ,$$

that is, $E_4 \cap E_1 = 0$, $E_1 \cap E_2 = 0$ and $E_2 \cap E_3 = 0$. In particular, then the intersection diagram $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}$ is a connected graph.

Proposition 4.2. *The indecomposable systems $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ constructed in the preceding section are not isomorphic to any closed operator systems under any permutation of subspaces.*

Proof. It is clear that $E_4 \cap E_1 = 0$, $E_1 \cap E_2 = 0$ and $E_2 \cap E_4 = 0$. Since $(e_1, 0, \dots, 0) \oplus (0, 0, \dots, 0) \in E_1 \cap E_3$, we have $E_1 \cap E_3 \neq 0$. Because $(0, 0, \dots, 0) \oplus (0, 0, \dots, e_1) \in E_2 \cap E_3$, we have $E_2 \cap E_3 \neq 0$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists a non-zero vector $x_1 \in K = \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ with $B_{w_1}x_1 = x_1$. Then $(x_1, 0, \dots, 0, 0) \oplus (x_1, 0, \dots, 0, 0) \in E_3 \cap E_4$, so that $E_3 \cap E_4 \neq 0$. Therefore the vertex 3 is not connected to any other vertices 1, 2, 4. Thus the intersection diagram $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_{w,N}}$ is not a connected graph. This implies that $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ is not isomorphic to any closed operator system under any permutation of subspaces. $\square$

5. DEFECT COMPUTATION

We shall compute the defect of the indecomposable systems $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ constructed in section 3.

Lemma 5.1. For fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $b \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, consider an equation $B_{w_j}u + b = u$ for unknown sequence $u \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Suppose that there exists a polynomial $p(t)$ of degree r with positive coefficients such that $|b(n+1)| \leq p(n)(\frac{3}{4})^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For any $c \in \mathbb{C}$, put $u(1) = c$ and let

$$u(n+1) = \frac{c}{\prod_{k=1}^n w_j(k)} - \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{b(m)}{\prod_{k=m}^n w_j(k)} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then there exists a polynomial $q(t)$ of degree $r+1$ such that $u := (u(n))_n$ satisfies $|u(n+1)| \leq q(n)(\frac{3}{4})^n$ for any n . Moreover u is in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and a solution of the equation $B_{w_j}u + b = u$. Conversely any solution u has this form.

Proof. The equation $B_{w_j}u + b = u$ implies that

$$w_j(n)u(n+1) + b(n) = u(n) \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence $u(n+1) = \frac{u(n)}{w_j(n)} - \frac{b(n)}{w_j(n)}$. Therefore any solution u has the desired form:

$$u(n+1) = \frac{c}{\prod_{k=1}^n w_j(k)} - \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{b(m)}{\prod_{k=m}^n w_j(k)} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\frac{4}{3} \leq w_j(n) \leq 4$

$$|u(n+1)| \leq |c|(\frac{3}{4})^n + \sum_{m=1}^n p(m-1)(\frac{3}{4})^{m-1}(\frac{3}{4})^{n-m+1} \leq q(n)(\frac{3}{4})^n$$

for some polynomial $q(t)$ of degree $r+1$. It is easy to see that u satisfies the equation and is in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. $\square$

Proposition 5.2. For any natural number N the indecomposable systems $\mathcal{S}_{w,N}$ have the defect $\rho(\mathcal{S}_{w,N}) = \frac{2N+1}{3}$.

Proof. We need to compute $\dim(E_i \cap E_j)$ and $\dim((E_i + E_j)^\perp)$. It is obvious that $\dim(E_i \cap E_j) = 0$ and $\dim((E_i + E_j)^\perp) = 0$ for any $i, j = 1, 2, 4$ with $i \neq j$.

We consider $E_2 + E_3$. Since $E_2 = 0 \oplus K$ and $E_3 \supset \{(x \oplus Tx); x \in K\}$, $E_2 + E_3 \supset H$. Thus $\dim((E_2 + E_3)^\perp) = 0$. Next we investigate $E_2 \cap E_3$. We see that $\{(0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha e_1); \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset E_2 \cap E_3$. Conversely take any

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_N \\ z_{N+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \\ y \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} B_{w_1}x_1 + x_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_{w_N}x_N + y \\ Sy + \alpha e_1 \end{pmatrix} \in E_2 \cap E_3.$$

Since $y = 0, x_1 = x_2 = \dots = x_N = 0, z_1 = \dots = 0$ and

$$E_2 \cap E_3 = \{(0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha e_1); \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

Therefore $\dim(E_2 \cap E_3) = 1$. Next we shall show that $E_1 + E_3 = H$. Since $E_3 \supset \text{graph } T$, $E_1 + E_3 \supset 0 \oplus \text{Im } T$. And $\text{Im } T = (L, L, \dots, L, \text{Im } S)$, because B_{w_k} is onto. Considering one dimensional perturbation by $\{(0, 0, \dots, 0, 0) \oplus (0, 0, \dots, 0, \alpha e_1); \alpha \in \mathbb{C}\}$, $E_1 + E_3 = H$, so that $\dim((E_1 + E_3)^\perp) = 0$. Consider $E_1 \cap E_3$. Take any

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \\ y \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \\ y \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} B_{w_1}x_1 + x_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_{w_N}x_N + y \\ Sy + \alpha e_1 \end{pmatrix} \in E_1 \cap E_3.$$

Then $y = 0, \alpha = 0$. Since $B_{w_N}x_N = 0$, $x_N = (x_N(1), 0, 0, 0, \dots)$. From $B_{w_{N-1}}x_{N-1} + x_N = 0$, we have $x_{N-1} = (x_{N-1}(1), -\frac{x_N(1)}{w_{N-1}(1)}, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$.

We continue in this way to obtain $x_{N-2} = (x_{N-2}(1), -\frac{x_{N-1}(1)}{w_{N-2}(1)}, \frac{x_N(1)}{w_{N-2}(2)w_{N-1}(1)}, 0, 0, \dots)$,

$$x_{N-3} = (x_{N-3}(1), -\frac{x_{N-2}(1)}{w_{N-3}(1)}, \frac{x_{N-1}(1)}{w_{N-3}(2)w_{N-2}(1)}, -\frac{x_N(1)}{w_{N-3}(3)w_{N-2}(2)w_{N-1}(1)}, 0, 0, \dots),$$

....., and

$$x_1 = (x_1(1), (-1)^1 \frac{x_2(1)}{w_1(1)}, (-1)^2 \frac{x_3(1)}{w_1(2)w_2(1)}, (-1)^3 \frac{x_4(1)}{w_1(3)w_2(2)w_3(1)}, \dots, (-1)^{N-1} \frac{x_N(1)}{w_1(N-1)w_2(N-2)\dots w_{N-1}(1)}, 0, 0, \dots)$$

Conversely for any parameters $x_1(1), x_2(1), \dots, x_N(1) \in \mathbb{C}$, vectors $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N$ with the above forms and $y = 0, \alpha = 0$ give elements in $E_1 \cap E_3$. Therefore $\dim(E_1 \cap E_3) = N$.

Next we investigate $(E_3 + E_4)^\perp$. Since $E_4^\perp = \{(-y, y) \in H; y \in K\}$ and $(\text{graph } T)^\perp = \{(-T^*z, z) \in H; z \in K\}$, we have

$$E_3^\perp \cap E_4^\perp = \{(-T^*z, z) \in H; z \in K, T^*z = z, (z|(0, \dots, 0, e_1)) = 0\},$$

Let $z = (z_1, \dots, z_N, w) \in K$. Since $B_{w_k}^* = S_{\overline{w_k}} = S_{w_k}$ is a weighted shift, $T^*z = z$ implies that

$$(S_{w_1}z_1, z_1 + S_{w_2}z_2, \dots, z_{N-1} + S_{w_N}z_N, z_N + S^*w) = (z_1, \dots, z_N, w).$$

From $S_{w_1}z_1 = z_1$, we have $z_1 = 0$. Then $S_{w_2}z_2 = z_2$. Hence $z_2 = 0$. We continue in this way to obtain $z_3 = \dots = z_N = 0$. Therefore $0 = (z|(0, \dots, 0, e_1)) = (w|e_1)$. Furthermore $S^*w = w$. Hence $w = 0$. Thus $z = 0$. Hence $E_3^\perp \cap E_4^\perp = 0$.

Finally we investigate $E_3 \cap E_4$. Take any

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \\ y \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} B_{w_1}x_1 + x_2 \\ \vdots \\ B_{w_N}x_N + y \\ Sy + \alpha e_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \\ y \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_N \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in E_3 \cap E_4.$$

Since $Sy + \alpha e_1 = y$, $y = (\alpha, \alpha, \alpha, \dots)$, As $y \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, $\alpha = 0$ and $y = 0$. Then $B_{w_N}x_N = x_N$. Hence $w_N(n)x_N(n+1) = x_N(n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore there exists a constant c_N such that

$$x_N = c_N(1, \frac{1}{w_N(1)}, \frac{1}{w_N(2)w_N(1)}, \frac{1}{w_N(3)w_N(2)w_N(1)}, \dots).$$

Then $|x_N(n+1)| = |c_N| \frac{1}{\prod_{k=1}^n w_N(k)} \leq |c_N| (\frac{3}{4})^n$. Thus $x_N \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. Apply Lemma 5 for the equations $B_{w_j} x_j + x_{j+1} = x_j$ for $j = N-1, N-2, \dots, 1$ step by step. There exist parameters $c_{N-1}, c_{N-2}, \dots, c_1$ such that $x_j(1) = c_j$ for $j = N, N-1, \dots, 1$ and the other components $x_j(n)$ for $n \geq 2$ are uniquely determined by these parameters. In fact,

$$x_j(n+1) = \frac{c_j}{\prod_{k=1}^n w_j(k)} - \sum_{m=1}^n \frac{x_{j+1}(m)}{\prod_{k=m}^n w_j(k)} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Conversely any $x_1, \dots, x_N$ with this form gives an element of $E_3 \cap E_4$. Hence $\dim(E_3 \cap E_4) = N$. Therefore $\rho(\mathcal{S}_{w,N}) = \frac{2N+1}{3}$. $\square$

Finally we get the following theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *There exist exotic indecomposable systems of four subspaces with the defect $\frac{2n+1}{3}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).*

REFERENCES

- [B] S. Brenner, *Endomorphism algebras of vector spaces with distinguished sets of subspaces*, J. Algebra 6 (1967), 100-114.
- [EW] M. Enomoto and Y. Watatani, *Relative position of four subspaces in a Hilbert space*, Adv. Math. 201 (2006), 263-317.
- [GP] I. M. Gelfand and V. A. Ponomarev, *Problems of linear algebra and classification of quadruples of subspaces in a finite-dimensional vector space*, Coll. Math. Spc. Bolyai 5, Tihany (1970), 163-237.
- [HLR] D. W. Hadwin, W. E. Longstaff and P. Rosenthal, *Small transitive lattices*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 87 (1983), 121-124.
- [Ha] P. R. Halmos, *Ten problems in Hilbert space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 887-933.
- [HRR] K.J. Harrison, H. Radjavi and P. Rosenthal, *A transitive medial subspace lattice*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1971), 119-121.
- [JW] C. Jiang and Z. Wang, *Strongly Irreducible Operators on Hilbert Space*, Longman, 1998.
- [J] V. Jones, *Index for subfactors*, Inv. Math. 72(1983), 1-25.
- [MS] Y. P. Moskaleva and Y. S. Samoilenko, *Systems of n subspaces and representations of $*$ -algebras generated by projections*, preprint arXiv:math.OA/0603503.
- [N] L. A. Nazarova, *Representations of a quadruple*, Izv. AN. SSSR 31 (1967), 1361-1377.

(Masatoshi Enomoto) COLLEGE OF BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, KOSHIE UNIVERSITY, TAKARAZUKA, HYOGO 665, JAPAN

(Yasuo Watatani) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KYUSHU UNIVERSITY, HAKOZAKI, FUKUOKA, 812-8581, JAPAN